

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/658,220 | 09/08/2003 | Yoichiro Yamanaka | 03547C/HG | 2701 |
| 1933 | 7590 06/06/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 5TH AVE FL 16 | | | RICKMAN, HOLLY C | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708 | | •• | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1773 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/658,220 | YAMANAKA ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | ** |
| | Holly Rickman | 1773 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | • | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods: | g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | ndonment of this app evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31; | es the or (3) a |
| a) The period for reply expires 5_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | f the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS | 1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o | hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a | the Notice of |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-30</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | • | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i | not be entered is necessary |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was <u>not</u> earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 16. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | cheu. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's arguments are directed to non-entered claim | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s). | // |

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773 Art Unit: 1773

ADVISORY ACTION (continued)

3a) NOTE: the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration/search. The proposed claims include a limitation directed to the method for determining birefringence. This is a new issue that was not previously considered and thus, would require further consideration and/or search.

HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER